UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,537	11/12/2003	Sidlgata V. Sreenivasan	P110/MII-76-58-03	6885
25108 MOLECULAR	7590 07/22/200 IMPRINTS	9	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 81536	5	STEVENSON, ANDRE C		
AUSTIN, TX 7	8/08-1536		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,537	SREENIVASAN	ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDRE' C. STEVENSON	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).	a of the signature		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CER 1 18(d) is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFK 1.10(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has he	n been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested Allowability (PTO-37). (c) Proposed corrected drawings were received on	•		
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing of Trans	Sillission dated), willer is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
In a phone interview with Ms. Leslie Holder on 07/14 the Final rejection office action and to abandon the		that there would	be no reply to
/Charles D. Garber/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2812			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to